#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 141 131

SE 022 636

AUTHOR TITLE

Ott, Mary Diederich Results of Fall 1976 Survey of Engineering Treshmen.

FUB DATE

[77] NOTE

20p.; For the Fall 1975 survey results, see ED, 127 248

Research Reports

EDRS PRICE **WESCRIPTORS**  MF-\$0.83 HC-\$1.67 Plus Postage. \*Educational Research: \*Engineering: \*Engineering Education; Females; \*Higher Education; \*Student & Characteristics; \*Surveys

IDENTIFIERS

ABSTRACT

The fall 1976 survey of engineering freshmen is the third of a series of five surveys seeking to determine the characteristics and attitudes of male and female engineering students, and the changes in these areas as students proceed through their first two years in engineering programs. Fourteen schools participated in the 1976 survey. An 80-item questionnaire was completed by 1,861 respondents (852 women and 1,009 men). Results were analyzed for response patterns by men and women and for differences between the two groups. Areas included in the study were: engineering major field, parental influence, reasons for career choice, reasons for graduate study, occupational goals, preferred professional work situation, source of job satisfaction, parents background, subjects enjoyed in high school, school background, leisure time ratterns, college performance, and future job preferences. Many differences are identified. (RH)

\*\*\*<del>\*</del> \* Documents acquired by ERIC include many informal unpublished \* materials not available from other sources. ERIC makes every effort \* \* to obtain the best copy available. Nevertheless, items of marginal \* reproducibility are often encountered and this affects the quality \* of the microfiche and hardcopy reproductions ERIC makes available \* via the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS): EDRS is not \* responsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions \* \* supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original. 

#### U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH EDUCATION & WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO-DUCED EXACTLY, AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN-ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED, DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE-SENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

SE

RESULTS OF FALL 1976 SURVEY OF ENGINEERING FRESHMEN

Mary Diederich Ott

College of Engineering

Cornell University

#### Introduction

The fall 1976 survey of engineering freshmen is the third of a series of five surveys seeking to determine the characteristics and attitudes of male and female engineering students, and the changes in these areas as students proceed through their first two years in engineering programs. Results of the two previous surveys have been presented in "Results of Fall 1975 Survey of Engineering Freshmen (Revised)" and "Results of Spring 1976 Survey of Engineering Freshmen."

### Survey Population and Sample

The survey results refer to the population of all first-time engineering freshmen who entered one of forty-two schools during the fall 1976 term. We estimate that there were 21,376 students in this population, including 17,964 men and 3,412 women (16%).

Fourteen schools, including thirteen of the original sixteen schools which comprised the fall 1975 survey sample, participated in the fall 1976 survey. Michigan Technological University replaced the University of Tennessee at Knoxville, a randomly selected school which participated in fall 1975 but not in the spring 1976 survey. One of the eight schools selected with certainty (Texas A&M University) and one of the eight randomly selected schools (Vanderbilt University) did not participate in fall 1976. In order to adjust for the non-participation of these two schools, the responses of students in the other schools were subjected to additional weighting procedures. For the seven certainty schools, men's weights were multiplied by a factor of 1.21, and women's by a factor of 1.16. These weight factors were based on the size of the male and female freshman engineering enrollments at Texas A&M University for fall 1975 relative to the enrollments of the other seven certainty schools for fall 1976. For the seven randomly selected schools, men's weights were multiplied by a factor of 1.07, and women's by a factor of 1.11. These weight factors were based on the size of the male and female freshman engineering enrollments at Vanderbilt University for fall 1975 relative to the enrollments of the other seven randomly selected schools for fall 1976. The total number of women freshman engineers at the fourteen schools was 1,315. The number of men was 7,630. All 1,315 women and 1,618 of the men comprise the survey sample.

#### The Questionnaire

The questionnaire administered in the fall of 1976 consisted of 80 items designed by the research team. A number of the questionnaire 1 tems were adapted from Part I of the College Student Questionnaires, with the permission of the Educational Testing Service. The questionnaire took about 30 minutes to complete.

Questionnaires were administered during fall term at the fourteen schools. Response rates varied considerably from school to school, ranging from a high of 92% to a low of 23%. The overall response rate was 65% for women students and 62% for men. In all, 852 women and 1,009 men completed the survey for a total of 1,861 respondents. The presence of both school and student non-response creates some non-response bias of unknown magnitude. Nonresponse adjustment procedures are included in the analysis of the survey data in an attempt to minimize this nonresponse bias.

#### Analysis |

Data were analyzed in terms of estimates of the proportions of men and of women in the population who would have a given response to a question. In order to make valid estimates of the population proportions, the data were subjected to statistical weighting procedures. Each respondent was assigned a weight having three components. The first component adjusts the sample to represent all students in the population. The second component is an adjustment for student non-response. The third component adjusts for the non-participation of Texas A&M University and of Vanderbilt University.

The precision of the estimated proportions was gauged by obtaining estimates of the standard errors of these estimated proportions. The standard error of an estimated proportion is a measure of the variability that the estimated proportion would have in repeated samples of the same type from this population. Typical standard errors of estimated proportions for this survey range from .005 to .05. We also estimated the precision of the difference between the estimated proportions for men and for women. Typical estimated standard errors of differences in estimated proportions between men and women also range from .005 to .05. By comparing the difference in the estimated proportions of men and women giving a certain response with the estimated standard error of the difference, we determined whether the differences in estimated proportions were statistically significant.

.

#### Results

Each survey question was tested for statistical significance of the difference in the estimated proportions of men and women giving a particular response or responses to the question. We used two levels of statistical significance, the .05 level and the .01 level. A difference that was significant at the .05 level means that there was only about one chance in twenty that the magnitude of the observed difference in estimated proportions would be found if the population proportions were equal. Significance at the .01 level means that there was only about one chance in a hundred that the magnitude of the observed difference in estimated proportions would be found if the population proportions were equal.

Survey results are given in the following table. Responses in brackets were considered as one response in the statistical analysis.



## Estimated Proportions of Students

# Responding to Each Alternative in the Fall 1976 Survey •

	Îtom	and Response Description	Total	Men	Women	Significance of Difference+
	Trem	and Veshouse nescribation	TOLAT	Hell	мощен	Of Diriefelles.
1.	Sex					
		Male	84.0%	100.0%		
	. 2.	Female •	16.0%		100.0%	
		No Response				
					10 mg	
2.						
	1.	16 or under.	0.7	0.8	0.2	
	2.		13.5	12.2	20.0	<b>)</b>
.,,,,,,	3.		78.6	78.9	.,	د بی از ۱۰۰ بعده دا ۱۰۰ معیده بیده دخت داده داده بخواهیدی. بخشه دست بوشتر ته ما بهوا این داشت با توانیدی کارد کارد کارستانت
	4.	19	4.2	4.7	1.6	**
	5.	20	0.5	0.6	0.0	
	6.	21 or older	0.9	1.0	0.3	
		No response	1.6	1.8	0.4	
					L	
3.		ineering major field				
		General	2.1	2.0	2.5	
	2.	Aeronautical and astronautical .	2,2	2.3	1.9	
	3.	Chemical	14.5	14.0	17.3	n.s.
	. " _	Civi1	11.3	11.5	9.9	n.s.
1,	5.	Electrical	18.2	20.2		*** 🍖
	6.	Industrial	2.4	2.3	3.0	*
غ.		Mechanical	13.7	14.5	9.1	<b>7</b>
	8.	Mineral, petroleum & geological	5.4	5.7 27.3	4.0	
	>	No response	30.1	47.3	44 ./8	
	Fna	ineering major field (continued)			197 No 28	
4.		Agricultural	0.8	0.8	1.1	
		Architectural	0.9	0.7	1.7	
**.		Bioengineering	1.8	_1.3_	**:	**
		Ceramics	0.4	0.4	0.5	
	5.	Computer Science	4.2	3.1	10.2	n.s.
	6.	Engineering Physics	1.3	1.3		
	7.	Metallurgical	1.7	1.6		
1.0	8.	Nuclear Engineering	2.9	3.0		
1	9.	Other engineering	3.3	3.1	4.2	
	10.	Undecided but within engineering	12.3	11.5	16.6	*
		No response	70.5	73.2	55.9	
and the first	经货币 化二氯					

+n.s. indicates no significant difference between weighted proportions of men and women at the 5% level

<sup>\*</sup>indicates significant difference at the 5% level \*indicates significant difference at the 1% level



		Non	Momen	Significance of Difference
Item and Response Description	Total	Men	MOWEII	OI. Dillerence
		• • •		
5. Non-engineering major field		0.7	0.0	
1. Biological science	0.6	0.7	0.7	
2. Physical science	1.3	1.4	2.4	
3. Mathematics	1.9	1.8 0.5	0.1	
4. Social science, humanities or arts	0.4		0.1	
5. Education	0.3	$\begin{array}{c} 0.3 \\ 1.1 \end{array}$	0.8	فسعدت فسنست فيست
6. Business	1.0	0.4	0.0	
7. Agriculture	0.3	0.4	0.5	
8. Architecture or city planning	0.4	0.4	1.4	
9. Other non-engineering	0.4	1.1	0.8	
10. Undecided but not engineering,	1.1	92.3	92.9	
No response	92.4	92.3		6 - 4
	ونبات والأناف ليساب أأتنسا بإراد	<i>F</i>		\
6. When first considered engineering	2.6	2.5	3.2	
1. In past 6 months	10.8	10.3	13.5	<b>**</b>
2. Between 6 months and a year ago	26.9	25.3	35.3	<b>)</b>
3. About a year ago	33.3	33.0	. 34.7	
4. About two years ago	12.3	13.3	7.2	
5. Three years ago	13.4	14.9	5.5	**
6. Four or more years ago	0.7	0.7	0.6	
No response	0.7			
7. Most influential in choice of major	32.3	32.3	32.5	
1. Father	4.5	4.3	5.4	
2.//Mother	16.5	16.4	17.3	
3. Other adult acquaintance(s)	10.5	1 - 0 - 1	<b>)</b>	
4. Elementary school teacher(s) or	0.9	1.0	0.2	
principal	18.5	18.4	18.5	
5. High school teacher(s)		7.9	\$6.3	n.s.
6. High school counselor, dean or prin	1.3	1.0	2.6	*
7. College teacher(s)	*			e 1
8. College counselor, dean or other	1.5	1.4	2.0	
non-teacher	7.7	7.7		
9. Close friend(s)	9.2	9.5		
No response				
_ and a share of major		N.		
8. Father's reaction to choice of major	69.4	69.5	69.3	(
1. Strongly approves	17.2	17.3	16.8	
2. Mildly approves	6.8	7.0	6.0	
3. Indifference	1.1	0.9		
4. Disapproves somewhat	0.0	0.0	0.3	
5. Strongly disapproves 6. Not aware of my present thinking	2.6	2.6	2.4	
6. Not aware of my present thinking	2.8	2.7	3.6	
No response		4		
	<u> </u>			

Item and Response Description	Total	Men ·	Women	Significand of Differen
(# <b>3</b> ) - 4				
9. Mother's reaction to choice of major			/ / / ·	
1. Strongly approves	62.9	62.3	65.8	**
2. Mildly approves	23.1	23.2	22.5	
3. Indifferent/	9.6	10.1	7.2	*
4. Disapproves somewhat	1.3	1.2		
5. Strongly disapproves	0.0	0.0	0.0	
6. Not aware of my present thinking	1.9	1.9		
No response	1.3	1.4	1.2	
10. Guidance counseler's opinion of interest				
in engineering ·				
1. Strongly discouraged	0.5	0.5	0.4	
2. Mildly discouraged	1.9	1.8	2.7	
3. Unaware	-36.0	-36.4	34 . 2	'n.s
4. Mildly supported	28:6	28.9	26.7	n.s.
5. Strongly supported	30.5	30.0		n.s.
No response	2.4	2.4	2.6	
그리다 하는 아래를 받으면 하셨다는 사람들은 계속 사회적으로 있다.		4		
11. Most important reason for career choice				
1. Job openings available	17.2	16.4	21.7	**
2. Rapid career advancement	2.0	2.1	1.3	
3. High anticipated earnings	8.0	9.0	2.9	**
4. Contribution to society	2.9	(2.5	4.9	**
5. Work with ideas	5.2	5.5	3.7	*
6. Prestige of the profession	. 1.1	1.1	0.9	
7. Opportunity to use special				
abilities and talents	19.8	. 19.6°	20.4	
8. Work itself is interesting	. 37.1	36.8	38.5	
9. Opportunity to combine work and family	1.4	1.4	1.2	
10. Other	4.9	5.1	3.8	n.s.
No response	0.4	0.3	0.6	
이 붉은 이 [[기타] [[기리 등록 하고 왕인 (2) 글이 모든				
12. Second reason for career choice				
1. Job openings available	24.4	23.7	28.3	**
2. Rapid career advancement	3.4	3.5	3.0	
3. High anticipated earnings	18.0	The second second	12.9	**
4. Contribution to society	6.6	6.7	6.1	
5. Work with ideas	8.2	8.4	7.3	
6. Prestige of the profession	1.9	2.0	1.5	
7. Opportunity to use special				
abilities and talents	12.9	12.5	15.0	n.s.
8. Work itself it interesting	18.6	18.5		
9. Opportunity to combine work and family		2.3		
• 10. Other	2.7	2.6		n.s.
No response	$ar{ ext{i.o}}$	1.0		
	0	· ·		

T	na n	Total	M	None	Significance of Difference
rcem-	and Response Description	Total	men	Women	or prinereuc
. / 13 . u i	ghest degree planned				
1.	No degree	0.1	0/2	0.0	
		35.0	34.5	37.6	
2. 3.		36.9	37.1	36.1	n.s.
- 4.		6.4	6.8	4.6	*
5.		13.4	13.4	13.7	
	Ph.D. or Ed.D.	2.9	2.9	3.1 \	
, 6.	ya—a ya ka ka a 🗡 Marana ka	2.0			
7.			1.9	2.7	n.s.
8.	Dental degree	0.5	0.6	0.0	
9.		0.9	0.8	1.4	
	No response	1.7	1.9	0.7	
1.4 Ma					<i>7</i> ) 4
	st important reason for graduate study  Don't intend to pursue graduate study	29.3	28.6	32.9	n.s.
$\frac{1}{2}$	at the first of the same and an analysis from the transfer of the same at the first of the same and the first of the same at the first of the same and the same at the first of the same at the same a				**
2.		9.9. 7.9	11.2	2.9 6.8	
3.		* -	8.2		<b>⇔</b> n.s.
4.		2.1	2.1	1.8	
5.	그는 현대가 가득하면 하면 하면 없는 것이 되었다. 그 얼마 나는 얼마 나는 얼마 없는 그 없었다.	20.8	21.0	10.4	
	goals in engineering	20.0	21.0	19.9	
, , 6.		6 - 6		,	
	specific field	5.0	5.3	. 13.7	n.s.
7.	그 사람이 그 사람은 아이들은 아이는 그를 다고 하는 그리고 하셨다고 하는 것 같다.				
	fullest extent	17.9	17.3	21.5	n.s.
8.	ng kan dinggan Trapada ang taon 1986 na atau at ang kanalang at ang kanalang at at ang kanalang di Sababaha ka	2.6	2.0	5, 4	***
	No response	4.4	4.3	5.2	
	ng-term occupational goals				
	Academic life	7.7	7.5	8.9	
. 2.	Business life	8.8	9.2	6.8	n.s.
3.	Professional life	53.4	52.9	56.4	n.s.
4.	Trained technician or craftsman	2.5	2.7	1.1	*
5.		1.6	1.6	1.4	
. 6.	Home and family	7.8	7.6	9.2	n.s.
.7.		3.5	3.1	5.7	*
8.	Insufficient thought given to decide.	14.0	. 14.7	10.0	**
	No response	0.7	0.8	0.5_	1°
			,		
16. Pr	eferred professional work situation				
· 1.	Own business	10.9 <sup>©</sup>	12.1	4., 2	**
2.		6.4	6.5	. 5.7	
3.	그런데 보고 하는 그 그 사람들이 가는 그들이 모든 그들은 사람이 되었다. 그는 그는 그는 그를 가는 것이 없다고 있다.	38.4	38.1	39.8	
4.	그는 그들은 그들은 그들은 그 그들은 사람들은 그를 모르고 그들은 그는 그를 가지 않는 것이 되었다.	6.1	6.4	4.3	**
<sub>o</sub> 5.	Educational institution	1.6	1.7	0.9	
	. Public or private research institution		11.5	19.1	**
	Public or private welfare agency	0.1	0.1	0.3	
8.		3.9	3.6	5.3	*
/g.		2.6	2.6	2.6	
10.		16.9	16.8	17.4	
• 5	- No response	0.6		0.3	

Item and Response Description	Total	Men	Women	Significance of Difference.
17. Most important source of job satisfaction				
1. Opportunity to use by abilities	26.6	26.0	29.7	*
2. Prospects of above average income	9.5	10.7	3.2	**
3. Freedom to be creative and original	11.0	11.5	8.8	` n.s.
<ul> <li>4. To work with people rather than things</li> </ul>	3.9	3.1	8.0	**
5. To be helpful to others and/or useful	1			
to society	~10.7—	9-2	18.5	**
6. Stable, secure future	`23.1	24.9	13.9	**
7. Compatability with colleagues	4.5	3.8	8.2 -	**
8. Avoidance of relatively high-pressure			•	
work	2.5	2.6	1.7	
9. Relative freedom from supervision	6.0	6.2	5.4	
No, response	2.1	2.1	2.6	
18. Father's education				
1. No formal schooling or some grade	بمنبساب	الراحارية والمشر	, e e lagra e electro	
school	1.2	1.3	0.8	
2. Finished grade school	2.7	2.8	1.9	· a
3. Some high school	.5.0	• 5.2	.4.3	
4. Finished high school	20.1	20.9	15.8	**
5. Business or trade school	6.0	6.2	5.1	
6. Some college	13.1	13.2	13.0	. \
7. Finished college	26.2	25.4	303	* ,
8. Graduate or professional school but	A			
no degree	5.3	4.9	7.4	*
9. Graduate or professional degree	_19.4	19.2		
No response	1.0	1.0		Vertical Section 1
No response				
19. Mother's education				
1. No formal schooling or some grade school	1 0.8 (	0.8	0.5	
2. Finished grade school	1.6	1.6	1,7	
3. Some high school	4.2	4.4		
4. Finished high school	35.6	36.4	31.5	**
5. Business or trade school		8.5	9.2	/
6. Some college	16.7	16.0	1 20.6	**
7. Finished college	20.9	20.8	21.4	
8. Graduate or professional school,				
no degree	3.4	3.3	4.0	
9. Graduate or professional degree	6.7	6.6	7.4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
No response	1.5	1.6	0.8	
Topone (				
20. Mother employed?	v.		•	
1. No	49.8	50.6	45.8	**
2. Yes, part-time	20.3	20.4	20.2	• /
3. Yes, full-time	28.8	27.9	33.4	/ *
No response	1.0	1.2	0.5	· /
	<b>.</b>	•		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
0				7.

ERIC CALL Provided by ERIC

Item and Response Description	Total	Men	Women	Significance of Difference
21. Either parent an engineer?				
1. No	71.5	72.4	67.1	*
2. Father	27.5	26.7	32.0	n.s.
3. Mother	0.2	0.2	0.2	,
4. Both	0.1	0.1,		
No response	0.6		0.5	
22. Racial-ethnic background			•	
1. American Indian	° 0.0	0.0	0.3	
2. Asian	<b>š.</b> 0	2.9	3.7	
3. · Black, non-Hispanic	2.9	2.5	4,8	**
4. Hispanic	3.5	3.7	2.9	
5. White, non-Hispanic	86.1	86.5	84.4	
6. Other	2.0	2.0	1.9	للتمارخ فيترك أيتأسي فأرسنت
No response	2.4	2.5	2.0	
				-
23. Religious preference				
1. Protestant	36.1	35.5	39.1	'n.s.
2. Catholic	31.6	31.2	33.8	n.s.
3. Jewish	2.4	2.6	1.6	
4. Other religion	7.9	8.1	7.0	
5. No formal religion	19.4	19.9	16.6	*
No response	2.6	2.8	1.9	
		•		
24. Consults with parents on important		7.		
personal decisions	•			•
1. Almost always	22.0	20.8	28.0	*
2. Usually	31.6	30.6	36.9	**
3. Occasionally	29.4	31.0	21.3	**
4. Rarely	16.2	16.7	13.4	
No response	0.7	0.8	0.5	
25. Degree of dependence on parents				
1. Quite dependent	,16.6	17.0	14.6	n.s.
2. Somewhat dependent	36.1	36:3	35.1	
3. Fairly independent	35.4	34.8	38.6	n.s.
4. Very independent			11.4	
No response		0.9	0.3	
26. High school class standing 1. Top 2%	21.8	18.9	37.4	**
2. Top 5%	the contract of the contract o	17.3		**
3. Top 10%		26.5		**
4. Top 20%	18.6	19.9		
5. Top 30%	8.2			<b>\</b>
6. Top 40%	1.8	2.0	0.5	**
7. Top 50%		2.3		
8. Not among top 50%		0.3		
9. Don't know	2.9	3.1	318-	
No response	0.7	0.7		
10			0	<b>,</b> ^
			•	
근데 이번 사람들은 것 같아요? 그런 하는 것 같아요? 그렇다				
programme, in event possible in the control of the	English Anna San Maria Maria Tanàna	7 1.17		a. K⊈utu a Hilt 1 1941 (l. T
<u>[C</u>				
orthy FHIC				

		•		Significance
Item and Response Description	Total	Men	Women	of Difference
27. Subject most enjoyed in high school				
1. Art	1.8	1.6	3.1	
2. Commercial	0.3	0.3	0.4	
3. Englishincluding speech and litera		3.0	5.4	n.s.
4. Foreign language(s)	1.9	1.7	2.9	
5. Mathematics	34.6	32.0	48.7	** ,
6. Music		4.6	5.7	
7. Physical education		4.8	2.3	n.s.
8. Sciences	,37.7	39.7		*** • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
9. Shop	5.0	5.8	0.9	** **
10. Social sciences	4.9	5.3		<b>**</b>
No response	1.1	1'.2	0.6	
28. Subject least enjoyed in high school				
January Deliver the Art of the Art	5.8	5.6		**
2. Commercial	9.2	7.7	17.4	***
3. Englishincluding speech and	00.0		00.0	
literature	30.3	32.3	20.2	* **
4. Foreign language(s)	24.2	26.6	•	A. W.
5. Mathematics	2.4	2.5	1.8	
6. Music	4.1	4.1	3.9 .	<b>**</b>
7. Physical education	5.9	4.8	11.9 .	20 X y
8. Sciences	2.0	1.8	3.0	
9., Shop	2.2	2.5	0.9	ار الاستان ال
10.' Social sciences	11.4		19.3	**
• No response	2:4	2.3	2.9	
		•		
29. Highest score on mathematics portion				
of SAT	3.5	3.8	2.0	
1. 780 or above		5.7 8 7.7	5.9	<b>*</b> *
2. 750, 760, 770		10.1	- 6.6	
3. 720, 730, 740 ·	9.5		10.5 /	
4. 690, 700, 710	11.3	11.2	12.2	n.s.
5. 660, 670, 680	and the second second	. •		<b>s.</b>
6. 630, 640, 650		11.1	11.3 ノ	
7. 600, 610, 620	8.6 5.3	. 8.2 5.2		<b>*</b> *
8. 570, 580, 590				
9. 540, 550, 560	4.2	3.8	1 6.3	<b>*</b> *
10. Below 540	2.0 ·	1.7		
No response	27.5	28.0	25.0	
20 Highout goors on works! portion of CAT				
30. Highest score on verbal portion of SAT	0.2	0.,3	0,2(	
1. 780 or above 2. 750, 760, 770	0.7	0.6	1.3	*
	1.8	1.5		
3. 720, 730, 740 4. 690, 700, 710	3.2	2.9	4.47	
4. 690, 700, 710 5. 660, 670, 680	4.8	4.5		n.s.
5. 660, 670, 680 6. 630, 640, 650	7.1	7.2	7.1	
6630, 640, 650	8.9	8.7	9.6(	
7. 600, 610, 620°	9.6			11.0
8. 570, 580, 590 0. 540, 550, 560	12.1	12.2	11.2	
9. 540, 550, 560	23.2	24.2	18.1	**
10. Below 540		28.8		
. No response $f L  f L$	40.4	20.0 g	20.0	
10		•		
	*	it stank first	•	
17				

Item	and Response Description	\ Total	Men	Women	Significance, of Difference
31. Hi	ghest score on mathematics subtest of		D.	•	
1.	35–36	3.3	3.3	3.1 (	
2.		5.0		3.8 \( \)	,n.s.
3.	31-32	6.0	5.9	/ 6.2	
	29-30	9.7	10.1,	7.8/	
. 5.	27-28'	8.5	8.5	8.8	n.s.
	25–26	4.7	4.8	4.1	
	23-24	2.2	2.4	1.6	
8.		1:6	1.7	1.4	
9.		0.9	0.8	1.37	
10.	Below 19	0.3 57.7	0.3 57.0	0.6 61.3	n.s.
	No response	/ 2/1•1	77.0	01.3	<b>1.3.</b>
	ghest score on natural science subtest				
	ACT				
. 1. 2.	35–36 ) 33–34	1.3 7.0	.1.3	1:1 3.9	**
2. 3.		8.9	7.5 8.9	9.1	
4.	29-30	8.0	8.6	·4.91	
5.	27-28	5.3		6.3	n.s.
6.	25–26	3.4	3.4	3.6	
7.	<b>23–24</b>	3.5	3.6	3.3	
8.		2,2	2.0	ל 3.0	
9.	19-20	.1.6	1.7	ر8.0	
, 10.	Below 19 No response	0.6 58.2	0.4 57.4	1.6 62.5	n.s.
33. H1 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	31-32 29-30 27-28	0.3 1.5 5.3 8.3 9.5 7.0	0.2 1.5 5.3 8.2 9.9	0.5 1.7 5.3 8.5 7.1 5.1	n.s.
7.	23-24	4.9	4.9	4.5	
8.		3.2	3.2	3.4	
9.	19–20	1.9	1.9*	1.5)	
10.	Below 19	0.9	0.9	0.8	
	. No response	57.4	56.6	61.7*	n.s.
o 34. Ap	proximate high school grade average				
1.	D+ or lower	0.2	-0.2_	0.0	and the second s
	일 <b>C</b> 를 잃다 그는 사람이 가장 살아 있다면 하는 지다.	0.4	0.4	0.3	
3.		0.2	0.3	0.0	<b>**</b>
4.	(1) <b>C平</b> (1) 15	2.0 4.8	2.4 5.5	0.47 / 1.3	69
5. 6.	B-7	12.6	13.6	7.0	**
7.	- B+	24.0	25.3	× 17.7	
8.	A-,	26.2	25.6	29.2	**
9,	Á or A	29.2	26.4	ر 43.8	
	No response 12	0.4	0.4	0.4	
	는 화장인 소리는 그를 받고 있다면서 나를 받는다.				
			And the W		

Item and Response Description	Total	Men	Women	Significance of Difference
Approximate high school science and				
math grade average			QF	gaster de la libraria de la secola. A la compositoria de la compositor
1. Di or lower	0.1	0.1	0.2	
2. C-	/0.3	0.4	0.1	<b>\</b>
	71.1	1.2	1.0	<b>,</b> *••••
4. C+	1.8	2.0	0.4	
7 5. B-	4.3	4.6	2.9	**
	11.1	11.6	8.7 (	
	16.9	17.6 •22.1	13.47 26.7	
	22.8 40.7	39.7	45.6	<b>**</b>
9. A or A+	0.9	0.8	0.9	
No response	0.5	. 0.0		
Number of high school scholastic honors				3.7
Number of high school scholastic holders	20.7	22.5	11.0	**
2. One or two	40.9	41.4	37.8	
3. Three or four	21.4	20.4	27.0	***
4. Five or more	15, 6°	14.3	22.7	*
No response	1.4	1.4	1.5	
등록 발경하는 하는 경기가 되는 사람들이 되는 것이다.				
7. Number of high school athletic honors	47.0	44.8	58.6	**
1. None	1 26.8	27.7	22.1	*
2. One sport	13.7	14.3	10.8	*
3. Two sports	11.4	12.0	8.4	n.s.
4. Three or more sports	1.0	1.1	0.2	
No response				
8. Non-required reading				gir Marie
1. Science, math, engineering				
nonfiction	9.5	10.9	2.5	**
2. Science fiction	16.5	17.6	10.4	**
3 Mystery, westerns, adventure fiction	8.3	8.2	8.9	
4. History, economics, social and				**,
political issues, etc.	6.2			
5. Psychology	1.6	. 1.7	1.3	
6. Novels, short stories, drama, poetry	22 2	17.0	55.8	**
etc.	0.9	0.9		N.
7. Biographies and autobiographies				the test
8. Sports, "how-to-do," etnonfictio	6.1	6.3		
9. Other 10. Did little or no outside reading	9.5	10.4		***
10. Did Fittle or no outside reading No response	0.9	0.8	.1.5	
			<b>a</b> 35	
9. Time spent on homework as high school				
senior	12.0	1/ 1	ρο	
1. None or almost none	13.2	14.1 18.2		**
2. About 1/2 hour per day	17.6 26.7	27.4		)
3. About 1 hour per day	A CONTRACT OF THE CONTRACT OF	24.6		A Company of the Company
4. About 2 hours per day	11.8			**
5. About 3 hours per day	4.4	3.7		
6. Four or more hours per day	0.7	0.7	0.3	
No response		•		
	•			

Item and Response Description, $f$	Tótal-	Men	Women	Significance of Difference
Participation in science activities	<b>v</b>			
1. Did not participate	58.8	58.2	61.8	n.s.
2. Participated, not very actively	26.3	26.4	25.5	
3. Participated very actively	13.8	14.2	2.60	n.s.
No response	1.1	1.2	0.9	
L. Participation in music 🚁 🍱 💮	; :			
1. Did not participa	62.8	65.6	48.3	<b>**</b>
2. Participated, not y	12.1	11.7	14.	n.s.
3. Participated very access	24.3	22.0	36.5	_ <b>*</b> *
No response	0.7	0.7	The same speed and	
		) (M)		
2. Participation in literary, debate,				
speech, drama		The state of the		
1. Did not participate	64.0	66.5	51.2	**
2. Participated, not very actively	. 18.9	17.5	26.1	**
3. Participated very actively	16.0		22.0	**
No response	1.1	1.2	0.8	
No responde				
3. Time spent on automotive activities				
_per week				
1. None or less than one hour	61.0	56.1	86.7	**
2. One or two hours	21.2	23.7	8.0	
3. Three or four hours	9.2	10.5	2.4	<b>**</b>
4. Five or more hours	7.6		1,7	)
No response	1.0	0.9	1.2	
				and the second second
4. Interest in modern art				
1. No interest whatsoever	25.5	27.4	15.3	** with
2. Slightly interested	58.6	58.2	60.5	1
3. Quite interested	12.5	11.5	17.4	ζ **
4. Very much interested	3.0	2.3	6.7	
No response	0.4	0.5	0.1	
5. Pleasure from classical music		V		
1. None or very little	22.0	24.1	10.8	**
2. A moderate amount	36.0	36.8	31.8	· 7: 2: 14
3. Quite a bit	23.8	22.7	29.4	**
4. A great deal	17.0	15.1	26.7	$\mathcal{D}$
No response	1.3	1.2	1.4	
The state of the s		_•-		
6. Enjoyment of poetry			1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	
1. None	17.7	19.6	8.0	* ( ).
to a company of the c	35.6	38.5	19.9	<b>}</b> **
<ol> <li>Not very much</li> <li>Yes, to some extent</li> </ol>	37.0	34.4	50.9	
	9.2	6.9	20.9	\ \{ \chi_n \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
4. Yes, very much No response	0.5	0.6	0.3	
MOTE PROFITE COMPANY	U•'J	0.0		



	m		T7	Significance
Item and Response Description	Total	Men	Women	of Difference
47. Knowledge of history of painting		J. 16.		
1. Almost nothing	51.7	52.9	45.6	n.s.
2. A small amount	36.0	35.9	36.1	1
3. A moderate amount	10.1	9.1	15,5	n.s.
4. A good deal	1.8	1.7	2.5	)
No response	0.4	0.4	0.4	
No responsey				
48. Greatest personal satisfaction expected				
as a freshman				
1. Coursework in general	19.2	19.5	17.4	n.s.
2. Coursework in major	10.9	11.6	6.9	**
3. Individual' study	1.8	1.9	0.9	
4. Organized extracurricular activities	7.4	7.8	5.3	*
5. "Bull sessions" with students	2.8	2.9	2.6	
6. Social life, dating, parties	9.6	10.3	6.0	*
7. Close friendships with students	8.0	7.3.	11.7	**
8. Wide variety of acquaintances	7.3	6.4	11.8	**
9. Self-discovery, self-insight	26.3	25.2	32.0	*
10. Other	4.9	5.1	3.7	n.s.
No response	1.9	1.9	1.7	
9. Biggest problem expected as a freshman				
1. No major problems	17.8	17.4	20.1	n.s.
2. Finances	9.8	9.9	9.1	
3. Medical problems	0.7	.0.7	0.5	
4. Handling course content	38.7	38.0	42.2	*
5. Relationships with opposite sex	7.8	8.6	and the second second	. **
6. Deciding on major	6.6	6.5	7.1	
7. Family relations	0.9	0.8	1.8	
8. Discriminatory practices	0.4		0.9	
9. "Finding" oneself	9.9	10.1	8.9	
10. Other problem	6.2	6.3	5.3	
No response	1.1	1.2	0.4	•
iO. Expected class rank		4/2	n e	The state of the s
. 1. Top 5%	5.5	5.8	3.9	n.s.
2. Top 10%	14.6	15.2	11.3	<b>*</b> *
3. Top third	37.9	37.8	38.3	
4. Top half	33.2	32.6	36.4	n.s.
5. Lower half	6.0	5.8	7.1	n.s.
No response	2.9	2.9	3.0	
		29. Tu		
51. Estimated grade average			***	
1. A	14.2	15.2.	8.9	**
2. B	60.5	59.7	64.8	n.s.
	23.1	22.9	24.2	
4. Des.	0.4	0.4	0.4	
5. Lower than D	0.1	0.2	0.0	
			1.7	



1	tem and Response Description	Total	Men	Women	Significance of Difference
52.	Academic performance expected in comparison				
	with own sex	18.20			
	1. Better than most	37.2	39:4	25.4	**
	2. Equal performance	56.8	54.6	68.4	**
	3. Worse than most	3.5	3.4	4.3	
	No response	2.5	2.6	1.8	man in the state of the state o
		•		1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	
53.	Academic performance expected in comparison				
· • [	with opposite sex				
	1. Better than	42.9	47.6	18.0	**
\$	2. Equal perf	49.5	45.8	69-2	**
	3. Worse to n mo	5.0	3.8	11.2	**
	No response	2.6	2.8	1.5	
E.A.		ista German salah seba	والأشاع أداءه		ألب بياني والمستنب في المنافق
54.	Greatest expected influence this year  1. Male faculty/staff member	11.1	11.2	11.1	
	<ol> <li>Male faculty/staff member</li> <li>Female faculty/staff member</li> </ol>	1.0	0.7		**************************************
11.75 A	3. Male engineering students	19.0	19.6	15.9	**
	4. Female engineering students	3.5	2.2	10.3	**
5 N.	5. Other male friends on campus	23.3	25.9	9.6	**
	6. Other female friends on campus	4.6	3.0	13.0	**
	7. Other male friends from home town	3.1	2.6	5.8	**
	8. Other remale friends from home town	5.3	6.2	0.7	reden (** der en lag for
	9. Family member(s)	10.8	10.7	11.7	
	10. Other	12.8	12.4	14.8	n.s.
	No response	5.4	5.6	4.5	
		7			
55-	Interest in participating in athletics				
9 7	1. Would not be interested	16.8	14.9	26.9	winds ** the inte
	2. Would like to participate, not actively		34.5	39.1	*
	3. Would like to participate actively	46.9	49.6	32.9	**
	No response	1.0	1.0	1.1	*
56.	Interest in participating in school		•		
	spirit activities		F7 1		4.4.
# - 1.2.2.1.1	1. Would not be interested		57.1	46.6	** **
gride (	2. Would like to participate, not actively		36.2	41.7	**
	3. Would like to participate actively	6.6 0.8	5.8 0.8	11.0	
	No response	0.0	0.0	0.7	
. 57	Activities of student costions of	4.7		ing distribution of the second se	The transfer of the state of th
2/15	Activities of student sections of professional organizations	x .			
	1. Would not be interested	23.6	24.9	16.4	**
1	2. Would like to participate, not actively		55.1		
	3. Would like to participate, not actively	20.6	18.8	30.1	**
	No response	1.1	1.1	0.9	



Item and Response Description	Total	Men	Women	Significance of Difference
ny dipikimana ny minana misika 1900 kaominina dipikampandiana minana minana manana manana. Manana misikamban dipikamban dipikamban dipikamban minana minana minana minana minana minana minana minana min				
58. Hope to join fraternity or sorority			00	
1. Yes.	27.2	28.4	20.6	~ n.s.
2. No, or there are none at this school	69.7	68.1	78.3	
No response	<b>3.1</b> //	3.5	11.1	
59. Preferred situation in ten years	12./2	12.6	9.8	
1. Single, full-time job		28.9	44.3	**
2. Married, no children, full-time job	31/./3	1.2		2. 15 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
3. Married, no children, part-time job	1.3	0.2	1.6 0.0	777
4. Married, no children, not employed	0.1		18.5	** / ///
5. Married, with children, full-time job	46.8	52.2	**	**
6. Marrio ch children, part-time ab	4.4	1.6	19'.1	** ///
rh children, not employ	0.9	0.2	4.8	
္မေရး မေရးမွာ မ	2.9	3.1	.1.9	
	Ž.		Maring May	
60. Preferred situation for spouse in ten years		0.1	6.0	n,s.
1. Will remain single	8.8	9.1	6.9	**
2. No children, spouse have full-time job	19.9	15.4	43.8	// <b>**</b>
3. No children, spouse have part-time job	10.0	11.8	0.5	**
4. No children, spouse not employed	1.9	2.2	0.4	///**
5. Children, spouse have full-time job	10.4	4.2	43.3	**
6. Children, spouse have part-time job	21.1	24.9	1.2	/ <b>**</b>
Children, spouse not employed	22 • 0	26.0	0.6 3.4	
No response	6.0	6.4	5.4	
				4
61. Importance of becoming an authority in my				
field	19.7	20.6	14.7	**
1. Essential	35.4	35.3	36.1	and a series
2. Very important	32.7	32.3	34.8	*
3. Somewhat important	11.4	11.0	13.3	n.s.
4. Not important	0.8	0.7	1.1	
No response	U. 0	0.7	*•*	
62. Importance of raising a family				
	16.1	16.3	14.8	en e
1. Essential	38.8	39.8	33.4	**
2. Very important	28.2	28.3	27.7	
3. Somewhat important	15.5	14.1	22.8	** .
4. Not important	1.4	1.4	1.2	
No response				
63. Having administrative responsibility for				. Little of the contract
	·			
the work of others	4.9	5.4	2.6	**
1. Essential	26.6	28.1	18.8	**
<ol><li>Very important</li></ol>	. 1 .		46.4	n.s.
	. 41 h	41		
<ol> <li>Somewhat important</li> <li>Not important</li> </ol>	43.6 22.9	43.1 21.4	30.6	**



1	tem and Response Description	Total	Men	Women	Significance , of Difference
				त्रामा तस्य स्मान्य हो अङ्गाती. अस्टर	
•	Never being obligated to people		<b>-</b>		
	Liggo Essential	7.3	7.5	6.3	
	2. Very important	,16.7	16.5	17.6	
	3. Somewhat important	31-4	31.3	32.0	
	4. Not important	42.6	42.6	42.7	
, >	No response	2.0	2.1	1.4	4
,	Getting married within the next five y				
	1. Essential	3.7	3.7	3.6	1
	2. Very important	7.1	7.4	5.4	
	3. Somewhat important	23.5	23.9	21.6	ការការអ្នកស្រី ប្រើអ្នកស្រី
	4. Not important	63.5	62.7	67.6	n.s.
	No response	2.2	2.3	1.8	
•.	Most strongl, support special assistan	ce for			
	minority engineering students in:		a	San	
•	1. Academic skills	15.8	15.9	15.3	Strain-mark seeds of
- 1	2. Laboratory skills	1.8	1.8	1.5	
5.7	3. Study skills	8.5	9.0	6.3	**
٠.	4. Career guidance	11.6	11.1	14.2	n.s.
	5. Academic enrichment	6.9	6.6	8.6	n.s.
	6. Peer support groups	9.3	7.8	16.7	**
1	7. None of these areas	38.6	40.0	31.1	***
	No response	7.6	7.8	6.3	
•	Most strongly support special assistan	ce for			
*	women engineering students in:				
. '	1. Academic skills	10.4	10.5	10.1	
	2. Laboratory skills	3.7	3.7	3.7	ารอยุ และการคลาม <b>สา</b>
*	3. Study skills	3.5	3.6	3.1	
7-	4. Career guidance	20.1	18.7	27.2	**
	5. Academic enrichment	.5.6	5.0	8.5	**
	6. Peer support groups	8.7	7.6	14.2	**
	7. None of these areas	39.9	42.2	27.7	**
	No response	. 8.1	8.6	5.4	and the second of
•	Plan to join Peace Corps or Vista				
	1. Definitely not	35:3	36.9	26.6	<b>*</b> *
	2. Probably not	55.1	54.5	58.5	<b>`</b>
	3. Probably yes	7.0	6.0	12.3	7 **
	4. Definitely yes	0.8	0.8	1.0	),
	No response	1.8	.1.8	1.6	
•	Knowledgeable of political affairs				
	1. Wholly uninformed	2.6	2.6	2.7	**
٠.	2. Not very well informed	30.4	28.0	43.3	<b>1</b>
	3. Fairly well informed	55.3	56.6	48.5 \	**
:	4. Very well informed	10.6	11.7	4.6	<b>,</b>
	No response	1.1	1.1	1.0	

Item and Response Description	Total	Men	Women	,	ificance ifference
70. In favor of abolition of capital			j.,		
punishment			3.		
1. Strongly disagree	35.6	37.8	23.9.	′ <b>\</b>	**
2. Disagree but not strongly	32.4	33.1	28.7	ж <b>-&gt;</b> пр	
<ol><li>Agree but not strongly</li></ol>	16.3	14.9	23.4	<b>}</b>	**
4. Agree strongly	12.2	10.5	21.2	٠, ٢	
No response	3.5	3.6	2.8		
보고 있는 것이 되었다. 그 전에 가는 보고 있는데 그 것이 되었다. 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그					
71. Concern about children and obscene					
literature /·					
1. No	. 25.2		17.5	} .	**
2. Cannot say		27:0	22.8	<u>ر</u>	발표 경기도 [
3. Mildly upset		30.1	32.8	}	**
4. Very much upset	15.5	13.6	25.2	)	
No response	2,5	2.6	1.6		
72. Decision to drop bomb on Hiroshima					
1. Strongly feel decision right	25.3	28.2	10.5	(	**
2. Decision right, but feelings not				\ <u>\</u>	
strong	41.3	43.1	31.8	۲ .	
3. Decision wrong, but feelings not				· - :	
strong	15.5	12.9	29.5	>	**
4. Strongly feel decision wrong	10.6	9.0	19.0	<b>)</b>	
No response	7.2	6.8	9.2		
personal decisions  1. Almost always  2. Usually  3. Seldom  4. Almost never  No response	17.8 37.9 31.0 12.3 0.9	15.8° 37.6 32.7 13.0 0.8	28.4 39.7 21.9 8.6 .1.4		** n.s. **
74. Acquainted with a male engineer before					
college		12	(1)	Sugar	
1. Yes	69.7	68.7	74.6		**
2. No	28.7				
No response	1.6	1.7	and the second s		
	* ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) (	•			
75. Acquainted with a female engineer before	•				*
college					
1. Yes	12.1	9.9	23.9		**
2 No			74.4		<b>4</b>
No response	1.9	2.0	1.7		
76. Strongly motivated to be an engineer				\$ 14 O.L.	
1. Strongly agree	33.2			. {	
2. Agree	42.9			٠, ر	
3. Neutral, no opinion	14.0		13.8		
4. Disagree			5.6	<b>\</b>	
5. Strongly disagree	2.3				
No response $19$	1.5	1.4	1.7		
	State of Exp				

	tem and Response Description	Total	Men		Significance of Difference	
. 77	ranger en en fille filmen filmen. Dan hen en filmen filmen filmen filmen filmen filmen filmen filmen filmen fi Angele en filmen fi					 
77.	Strong interest in academic fields	: →     \				• • • •
	outside of engineering 1. Strongly agree	30.9	30.2	34.7 \$	•	٠.
	2. Agree	44.0	43.7	45.6	**	
	3. Neutral, no opinion	15.7	16.2	13.2	~ **	٩
	4. Disagree	6.6	7.0	4.5 L		`}.
and the second	5. Strongly disagree	1.7	1.9	0,85	**	
	No response	1.0	1.0	1.3	and the second s	٠.
						ij
/3.	Expect a master's degree in engineering					1
	1. Strongly agree	19.5	19.5		. grays view at the contract	,
	2. Agree	26.0	25.9	2 8 (		
	3. Neutral, no opinion	28.3	28.0		n.s.	1 4
	4. Disagree	19.1	19.2	18.8 }		 
	5. Strongly disagree	5.5	5.3	6.6		
, a	No response	1.5	.1.4	2.4		
	영경 다른 생물에 뭐야 보는 없는 사람들은 말을 다 했다.					
79.	Engineering is a good field to be in to		7	بمن		٠,
	help solve social problems			20.0		
	1. Strongly agree	16.1	15.5	19.2	**	(d.)
	2. Agree	32.3 34.2	31.6 35.1	ر، 35. <i>7</i> 29.9	*	
	<ul><li>3. Neutral, no opinion</li><li>4. Disagree</li></ul>	13.1	13.5		To the second se	::
4	5. Strongly disagree	2.6	2.8	11.4	**	•
	No response	1.7	1.5	2.7	was a second	47
	No. 123pon3C					نده رازا
80.	Shouldn't worry about harmful effects of		<b>3</b>			
	technology because new inventions will					
· ·	solve the problems				in the property	٠.
	1. Strongly agree	2.9	3.1	1.6 (		
	2. Agree	7.8	8.2	. 5.6		y . 4
	3. Neutral, no opinion	9.5	9.0	12.2	*	
	4. Disagree	31.7	31.6	32.2 \		¥
	5. Strongly disagree	46.7	46.7	46.3		
	No response	1.5	1.4	2.1		

